1		
2		
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
5	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
6	PROBUILDERS SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, RRG,	CASE NO. 5:10-cv-05533 EJD
7	INSURANCE COMPANT, KRO,	ORDER DENYING REQUEST TO RESCHEDULE CASE MANAGEMENT
8	Plaintiff(s), v.	CONFERENCE
9	VALLEY CORP B, et. al.,	[Docket Item No(s). 360]
10	VALLET CORT B, et. at.,	[Docket item No(s), 300]
11	Defendant(s).	1
12		I .

Presently before the court is the parties' joint request to reschedule a Case Management Conference that was vacated after the parties informed the court that their post-trial attempts to settle this action were unsuccessful. See Docket Item No. 360. The parties believe a Case Management Conference would be helpful in order to address two matters: (1) issues concerning the judgment, and (2) a briefing schedule for future motions.

Having considered the parties' request, the court disagrees that a Case Management Conference to address these identified matters would be productive because (1) any "issues" concerning the judgment can be raised by motion under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60, which the court would prefer under the circumstances presented by this case, and (2) any modifications to motion briefing schedules can be proposed either by stipulation of the parties or by administrative motion pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11.

Accordingly, the request is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 10, 2014

EDWARD J. DAVILA United States District Judge